

1
2 E-filed: 11/12/2008
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

10
11 ANTHONY SARKIS,
12 Plaintiff,

13
14 v.
15 MIROSLAV LAJCAK; OFFICE OF THE
16 HIGH REPRESENTATIVE,
Defendants.

17 No. C-08-01911 RMW
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER CLARIFYING REPLY DEADLINE
[Re Docket No. 36]

The specially appearing defendants have requested clarification regarding the deadline for their reply brief to their motion to dismiss. The court continued the hearing on the motion to dismiss from November 21, 2008 to December 19, 2008. *See* Docket No. 35 (Nov. 6, 2008). The court's order did not specify that the original briefing schedule would remain operative. Accordingly, the local rules dictate that the defendants' reply is due 14 days before the new hearing date, i.e., December 5, 2008. Civil L.R. 7-7(d).

DATED: 11/12/2008

Ronald M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

ORDER CLARIFYING REPLY DEADLINE
C-08-01911 RMW
TSF

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 **Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:**

2 **Counsel for specially appearing Defendants:**

3 Bruce O. Baumgartner bbaumgartner@bakerlaw.com
Lisa Inez Carteen lcarteen@bakerlaw.com
4 Mark A. Cymrot mcymrot@bakerlaw.com

5 **Counsel for Plaintiff:**

6 Jeffrey Mark Forster jforstr@pacbell.net
Philip M. Musolino pmusolino@musolinoanddessel.com

7
8 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not
registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program in each action.

9
10 **Dated:** 11/12/2008

TSF
Chambers of Judge Whyte

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28